

CHROMIUM ELECTROPLATING/ANODIZING



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (IN RE-INSPECTION)		LAINT/DISCOVERY COMPLAINT NO:	(CI) □	
AIRS ID#: 0950329 DATE: <u>9/11/2013</u>	ARRIVI	E: <u>9;20</u>	DEPART: <u>10:00</u>	
FACILITY NAME: ELECTRO CHROMIUM CO INC				
FACILITY LOCATION: 549 N Orange Blossom Trl				
ORLAN	NDO 32805-1437			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESEN' Email: CONTACT NAME: Glenn Martineau Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 7/7/2011 (effective date)	/ 7/7/2016	TINEAU PHONE: Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	(407)435-7240	
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: CLASSIFICATION – Rule 62-213.300 FAC Facility type(s)/applicable standard as indicated on notification form: 1. Hard Chromium Plating a. Existing Large (0.015 mg/dscm) b. Existing Small (0.03 mg/dscm) c. New (0.015 mg/dscm) d. Alternative Standard for existing facilities				
(0.03 mg/dscm) using a rolling average of rectifier capacity (less than 60 million A-hr/year)				
2. <u>Decorative Chromium Plating/Anodizing</u>				
a. Chromic Acid Bath	 Emissions of ≤ 0.01/n Surface tension of ≤ (May only be selected) 		³ lb-f/ft) ⊠	
b. Trivalent Chromium Bath	 With wetting agent Without wetting ager 	$t \le 0.01$ mg/dscm (4.42)	x10 ⁻⁶ gr/dscf)	
c. <u>Chromium Anodizing</u>	 Emissions of ≤ 0.01 i Surface tension of 45 (May only be selected) 	ng/dscm (4.4x10 ⁻⁶ gr/d dynes/cm (3.1x10 ⁻³ lb and if a wetting agent is		

PART III: CONTROL TECHNOLOGY - Rule 62-213.300 FAC	
(6.1, 44, 41	
(<u>Select control</u> device)	DEVICE IN LIGE?
<u>device</u>)	DEVICE IN USE?
1. Composite Mesh Pad	∏Yes ∏No
2. Fiber Bed Mist Eliminator	Yes No
3. Packed Bed Scrubber	☐Yes ☐No
4. Packed Bed Scrubber/Composite Mesh Pad	Yes No
5. Foam Blanket Fume Suppressant	
6. Fume Suppressant w/ Wetting Agent	⊠Yes □No
Has the facility conducted an initial performance test to establish monitoring parameters?	□Yes □No ⊠N/A
(Not required for sources using a wetting agent or 1-inch foam blanket thickness)	105 110 631111
DADT IV. DECODD/EEDING/DEDODTING DECUIDEMENTS Dule 62 212 200	(2)
PART IV: <u>RECORDKEEPING/REPORTING</u> <u>REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.3000	(3)
Has the responsible official maintained the following records?	
	3
1. Quarterly inspection records for add-on air pollution control devices and	
monitoring equipment. (applicable only to a facility using a packed bed scrubber,	
mist eliminator, or composite mesh pad)	
2. Operations and Maintenance Plan (OMP). (applicable only to a facility using a	
scrubber, fiber-bed mist eliminator, or composite mesh pad)	∐Yes ∐No ⊠N/A
3. Maintenance records for the source, add-on pollution control devices, and	May Day
monitoring equipment (equipment identified, date performed, description)	- ⊠Yes □No
4. Records of date of occurrence, duration, cause, and corrective action of each	, Mx ₁ Mx ₁₋
malfunction of process, add-on pollution control device, and monitoring equipmen 5. Results of all performance tests	
6. Records of monitoring data. (not applicable to trivalent chromium baths using a	
agent)	1 wetting □Vas □No □N/Δ
ugeni)	LIES LINO MINA
Composite Mesh Pad	
Measure the pressure drop across the CMP daily	- Yes No
Packed Bed Scrubber	
Measure the pressure drop across the PBS and the inlet velocity daily	□Yes □No
<u>Fiber-Bed</u> <u>Mist</u> <u>Eliminator</u>	
Measure the pressure drop across the FBME and the upstream device daily	□Yes □No
Packed Bed Scrubber/Composite Mesh Pad	
Measure the pressure drop across the CMP daily	□Yes □No
Foam Blanket Fume Suppressant	
Measure the foam blanket thickness at the appropriate interval	∐Yes ∐No
Fume Suppressant w/ Wetting Agent	
Measure the surface tension at the appropriate interval.	
7. Purchase records of wetting agent components.	
8. Records of the date and time that fume suppressants are added to the bath	
9. Records of rectifier capacity, if used to determine facility size	
10. Records of the total process operating time	
12. Startup, Shutdown & Malfunction Plan	
12. Startup, Shutdown & Mariancuon Franc.	- Mies Mino

Assefa Hailemariam	9/11/2013
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection
	~9/2014
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: The facility was found to be in compliance with their air permit and all records were kept according to the air permit. Mr. Martinau stated that the facility did not add any new equipment and facility ran same as last year with no problems. No odor was detected during the inspection.